



Classification models

Overview

- Recap: last class
 - Why annotate data?
 - Tips and tricks for components of annotation process
 - Annotator agreement metrics
 - Ethics of crowdsourcing

This class: What do we do with annotated data?

- Logistic Regression
- Neural networks
- Adjusting for model errors



Methods of Data analysis

- We want to know if (and when and how) Republicans talk about taxes more than Democrats:
 - 1. We use word statistics to find if words like "taxes" and "spending" are more common in republican speeches
 - 2. We can train a topic model, identify the tax-related topics and determine if that topic is more common in Republican vs. Democratic speech (or incorporate party affiliation as co-variate in STM)
 - **3.** We could go through every speech by hand:
 - Label if each speech or sentence or word is related to taxes
 - Count if we labeled more Republican speech than Democratic speech
 - 4. We can automate #3 using machine learning







Logistic Regression

Supervised learning







Components of a probabilistic machine learning classifier

- Given m input/output pairs (x⁽ⁱ⁾, y⁽ⁱ⁾):
- 1. A **feature representation** of the input. For each input observation $x^{(i)}$, a vector of features $[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. Feature j for input $x^{(i)}$ is x_j , more completely $x_j^{(i)}$, or sometimes $f_j(x)$.
- 2. A **classification function** that computes \hat{y} , the estimated class, via p(y|x), like the **sigmoid** or **softmax** functions.
- 3. An objective function for learning, like **cross-entropy loss**.
- 4. An algorithm for optimizing the objective function: **stochastic gradient descent**.



Supervised learning





Inference Test: Given a test example x, compute p(y|x) using learned weights w and b, and return whichever label (y = 1 or y = 0) is higher probability







1. Feature Representation

Feature representation



Feature representation

- Common choice for document-level tasks:
 - BOW representation (with TF-IDF weighting)

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	13
good	114	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
wit	20	15	2	3

Bag-of-words document representation







2. Classification Function

Binary Classification in Logistic Regression

- Given a series of input/output pairs:
 (x⁽ⁱ⁾, y⁽ⁱ⁾)
- For each observation x⁽ⁱ⁾
 - We represent $x^{(i)}$ by a **feature vector** $[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$
 - We compute an output: a predicted class $\hat{y}^{(i)} \in \{0,1\}$
 - (multinomial logistic regression: $\hat{y} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$)



Introducing feature weights

- For feature x_i, weight w_i tells is how important is x_i
 - o x_i ="review contains `awesome": w_i = +10
 - o x_j ="review contains `abysmal": $w_j = -10$
 - o x_k ="review contains 'mediocre'": $w_k = -2$

 Feature weights are useful for learning an accurate classifier, but they are also useful for analyzing feature importance



How to do classification

- For each feature x_i, introduce weight w_i which tells us importance of x_i

 (Plus we'll have a bias b)
- We'll sum up all the weighted features and the bias

$$z = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i\right) + b$$
$$z = w \cdot x + b$$

If this sum is high, we say y=1; if low, then y=0



We want a probabilistic classifier

We need to formalize "sum is high".

 $p(y=1|x; \theta)$ $p(y=0|x; \theta)$



The problem: z isn't a probability, it's just a number!

$$z = w \cdot x + b$$

Solution: use a function of z that goes from 0 to 1

$$y = \sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$



The very useful sigmoid or logistic function



Idea of logistic regression

- We'll compute w·x+b
- And then we'll pass it through the sigmoid function:
- σ(w·x+b)
- And we'll just treat it as a probability



Making probabilities with sigmoids

$$P(y=1) = \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$

=
$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(w \cdot x + b))}$$

$$P(y=0) = 1 - \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$

= $1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(w \cdot x + b))}$
= $\frac{\exp(-(w \cdot x + b))}{1 + \exp(-(w \cdot x + b))}$
= $\sigma(-(w \cdot x + b))$



Turning a probability into a classifier

$$\hat{y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P(y=1|x) > 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

0.5 here is called the **decision boundary**







3. Loss Function

Loss function

- Supervised classification:
 - We know the correct label y (either 0 or 1) for each x.
 - $\circ~$ But what the system produces is an estimate, \hat{y}
- We want to set *w* and *b* to minimize the **distance** between our estimate $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ and the true $y^{(i)}$.
 - We need a distance estimator: a **loss function** or a **cost function** (#3)
 - We need an optimization algorithm to update w and b to minimize the loss (#4)



Loss Function

- We want to know how far is the classifier output:
- $\hat{y} = \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$
- from the true output:
- y [= either 0 or 1]
- We'll call this difference:
- $L(\hat{y}, y) = \text{how much } \hat{y} \text{ differs from the true } y$



Deriving cross-entropy loss for a single observation x

- **Goal**: maximize probability of the correct label p(y|x)
- Since there are only 2 discrete outcomes (0 or 1) we can express the probability p(y|x) from our classifier (the thing we want to maximize) as

$$p(y|x) = \hat{y}^{y} (1-\hat{y})^{1-y}$$

- noting:
 - o if y=1, this simplifies to ŷ
 o if y=0, this simplifies to 1− ŷ



Deriving cross-entropy loss for a single observation x

- **Goal**: maximize probability of the correct label p(y|x)
- Since there are only 2 discrete outcomes (0 or 1) we can express the probability p(y|x) from our classifier (the thing we want to maximize) as

$$p(y|x) = \hat{y}^y (1-\hat{y})^{1-y}$$

• Take the log of both sides

$$log p(y|x) = log [\hat{y}^{y} (1-\hat{y})^{1-y}] = y log \hat{y} + (1-y) log (1-\hat{y})$$



Deriving cross-entropy loss for a single observation x

• **Goal**: maximize probability of the correct label p(y|x) $\log p(y|x) = \log \left[\hat{y}^y (1-\hat{y})^{1-y} \right]$ $= y \log \hat{y} + (1-y) \log(1-\hat{y})$

- Now flip sign to turn this into a loss: something to minimize
- **Cross-entropy loss** (because is formula for cross-entropy(y, \hat{y}))

$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log p(y|x) = -[y \log \hat{y} + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})]$$

• Or, plugging in definition of \hat{y} :

 $L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -[y \log \sigma(w \cdot x + b) + (1 - y) \log (1 - \sigma(w \cdot x + b))]$







4. Stochastic Gradient Descent

Our goal: minimize the loss

- Let's make explicit that the loss function is parameterized by weights $\theta = (w,b)$
- And we'll represent \hat{y} as $f(x, \theta)$ to make the dependence on θ more obvious
- We want the weights that minimize the loss, averaged over all examples:

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{\text{CE}}(f(x^{(i)}; \theta), y^{(i)})$$



Intuition of gradient descent

• How do I get to the bottom of this river canyon?



Look around me 360°

Find the direction of steepest slope down

Go that way



Gradient Descent

- The gradient of a function of many variables is a vector pointing in the direction of the greatest increase in a function.
- For each dimension *w_i* the gradient component *i* tells us the slope with respect to that variable.
 - "How much would a small change in W_i influence the total loss function L?"
 - We express each element as a partial derivative ∂ of the loss ∂w_i
 - The gradient is then defined as a vector of these partials.
- **Gradient Descent**: Find the gradient of the loss function at the current point and move in the **opposite** direction.

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \eta \frac{d}{dw} L(f(x;w),y)$$

"learning rate" hyperparameter
determines how far we move in the
direction specified by the gradient



Break









Neural Networks

Components of a probabilistic machine learning classifier

- Given m input/output pairs (x⁽ⁱ⁾, y⁽ⁱ⁾):
- 1. A **feature representation** of the input. For each input observation $x^{(i)}$, a vector of features $[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. Feature j for input $x^{(i)}$ is x_j , more completely $x_j^{(i)}$, or sometimes $f_j(x)$.
- 2. A **classification function** that computes \hat{y} , the estimated class, via p(y|x), like the **sigmoid** or **softmax** functions.
- 3. An objective function for learning, like **cross-entropy loss**.
- 4. An algorithm for optimizing the objective function: **stochastic gradient descent**.







2. Binary Logistic Regression as a 1-layer Network

(we don't count the input layer in counting layers!)



Two-layer Neural Network with scalar output

IJ

W

 X_1

Output layer (σ node)

> hidden units (σ node)

 $h = \sigma(Wx + b)$

+1

 $y = \sigma(z)$

z = Uh

Xn

b Need a non-linear function, e.g. sigmoid, ReLU, tanh

Input layer (vector)

4. Backpropogation for Gradient Estimation

- We can train the model in a similar way, but we need the derivative of the loss with respect to each weight in every layer of the network
 - But the loss is computed only at the very end of the network!
- Solution: error backpropagation (Rumelhart, Hinton, Williams, 1986)
 - Algorithm for gradient estimation



1. Learned word embeddings instead of crafted features



38





Evaluation and Prevalence Estimation

Evaluation Metrics

- How can we tell if model is correct?
 - Performance on held-out test set
- Data splits:
 - Training set: used to learn model parameters
 - Validation/development set: used to learn hyperparameters, debug, choose best model instance
 - Test set: used to evaluate model performance



Evaluation

Gold Labels

		Not Offensive	Offensive	Sum
Model Prediction	Not Offensive	147	50	197
	Offensive	10	15	25
	Sum	157	65	222

Accuracy: $\frac{Number \ correct}{Total} = \frac{147+15}{222} = 73\%$ Precision: $\frac{True \ Positive}{True \ Positive+False \ Positive} = \frac{15}{15+10} = 60\%$ Recall: $\frac{True \ Positive}{True \ Positive+False \ Negative} = \frac{15}{15+50} = 23\%$

Prevalence Estimates

We often want to use the model for prevalence estimates
 Did prevalence of positive emotions increase over time?





Simple Approach: Classify and Count (CC)

$$\hat{\theta}^{CC} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} 1\{p_i > 0.5\}$$

 Convert classifier output p_i to binary decision and compute average over all n data points (model estimates that x% of tweets express anger)

What if our held-out test accuracy is 75%? Should we still count all outputs predicted by the model?

George Forman. 2005. Counting positives accurately despite inaccurate classification. In European Conference on Machine Learning.

JOHNS HOPKINS WHITING SCHOOL FENGINEERING WHITING SCHOOL FENGINEERING Keith, Katherine, and Brendan O'Connor. "Uncertainty-aware generative models for inferring document class prevalence." *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 2018

Adjusted Classify and Count (ACC)

$$\hat{\theta}^{ACC} = rac{\hat{\theta}^{CC} - \text{FPR}}{\text{TPR} - \text{FPR}}$$

Dependent on the correctness of TPR and FPR

JOHNS HOPKINS WHITING SCHOOL GEORGE Forman. 2005. Counting positives accurately despite inaccurate classification. In European Conference on Machine Learning.

Probablistic Classify and Count (PCC)

$$\hat{ heta}^{PCC} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i} p_i$$

- Is typically effective *if model is well-calibrated*
 - \circ For all test samples where p=0.9, ~90% should be true positives
 - \circ For all test samples where p=0.7, ~70% should be true positives
 - \circ For all test samples where p=0.1, ~10% should be true positives

45

Refences and Acknowledgements

- Slide thanks to Jurafasky & Martin: https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/
- Jurafsky & Martin Chapter 5
- Jurafsky & Martin Chapter 7
- Keith, Katherine, and Brendan O'Connor. "Uncertainty-aware generative models for inferring document class prevalence." *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 2018.

